gloves: a prospective randomized pilot study. Dermatol Surg. 2011;37(5):651-656.

12. Cheung LK, Chow LK, Tsang MH, Tung LK. An evaluation of complications following dental extractions using either sterile or clean gloves. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2001;30(6):550-554.

13. Chiu WK, Cheung LK, Chan HC, Chow LK. A comparison of post-operative complications following wisdom tooth surgery performed with sterile or clean gloves. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2006:35(2):174-179.

14. Ghafouri HB, Zoofaghari SJ, Kasnavieh MH, Ramim T, Modirian E. A pilot study on the repair of contaminated traumatic wounds in the emergency department using sterile versus non-sterile gloves. *Hong Kong J Emerg Med.* 2014;21(3):148-152.

15. Giglio JA, Rowland RW, Laskin DM, Grenevicki L, Roland RW. The use of sterile versus nonsterile gloves during out-patient exodontia. *Quintessence Int*. 1993;24(8):543-545.

 Rogers HD, Desciak EB, Marcus RP, Wang S, MacKay-Wiggan J, Eliezri YD. Prospective study of wound infections in Mohs micrographic surgery using clean surgical technique in the absence of prophylactic antibiotics. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2010; 63(5):842-851. **17**. Rogues AM, Lasheras A, Amici JM, et al. Infection control practices and infectious complications in dermatological surgery. *J Hosp Infect*. 2007;65(3):258-263.

18. Lilly E, Schmults CD. A comparison of high- and low-cost infection-control practices in dermatologic surgery. *Arch Dermatol.* 2012;148(7):859-861.

19. Burke FJ. The role of non-sterile gloves in clinical practice. *J Ir Dent Assoc*. 1989;35(1):30-35.

20. Burke FJ, Wilson NH. Non-sterile glove use: a review. *Am J Dent*. 1989;2(5):255-261.

21. Checchi L, Gatto MR, Legnani P, Pelliccioni GA, Bisbini P. Use of gloves and prevalence of glove-related reactions in a sample of general dental practitioners in Italy. *Quintessence Int.* 1999; 30(9):633-636.

22. Juraskova ES, Matouskova I. Hand hygiene in dental practice: current situation. *Hygiena*. 2014; 59:71-73.

23. Akagi I, Furukawa K, Miyashita M, et al. Surgical wound management made easier and more cost-effective. *Oncol Lett.* 2012;4(1):97-100.

24. Cooper JA. The cost of keeping one's hands clean. *Br Dent J.* 1992;173(3):86.

25. O'Grady H, Baker E. Prevention of surgical site infections. *Surgery*. 2011;29:513-517.

26. Moher D, Cook DJ, Eastwood S, Olkin I, Rennie D, Stroup DF. Improving the quality of reports of meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials: the QUOROM statement [in Spanish]. *Rev Esp Salud Publica*. 2000;74(2):107-118.

27. Robinson JK, Dellavalle RP, Bigby M, Callen JP. Systematic reviews: grading recommendations and evidence quality. *Arch Dermatol*. 2008;144(1):97-99.

28. Ho B, Liebman R, Hughes CA. The price of doing pediatric sinus procedures (a look at cost). *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol*. 2013;77(10):1639-1642.

29. Iwamoto Y, Kato M. Should sterile gloves be used for outpatient cystoscopy? *J Endourol*. 2014; 28(suppl 1):A121.

30. Odutoye T, McGilligan A, Robb PJ. Aseptic surgical technique and postgrommet otorrhoea. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol*. 2003;67(suppl 1):S233-S235.

31. Zehtabchi S, Tan A, Yadav K, Badawy A, Lucchesi M. The impact of wound age on the infection rate of simple lacerations repaired in the emergency department. *Injury*. 2012;43(11): 1793-1798.

NOTABLE NOTES

Kraissl Lines—A Map

Eric Laurent Maranda, BS; Robert Heifetz, BS; Jacqueline Cortizo, BS; Farhaan Hafeez, MD; Keyvan Nouri, MD

An unavoidable and often unsightly complication of elective surgery is the inevitable scarring that follows.¹ Placing elective surgical lines of incision in favorable locations minimizes the aesthetic and functional loss due to scar contracture. In 1861, anatomist Karl Langer applied the research of Dupuytren to develop a full-body map of elliptical lines arranged from similarities observed in tissue distortion of cadavers. Langer concluded that scars resulting from induced wounds were shaped by their regional collagen orientation.² Swiss physician Emil Kocher, MD, later recommended the topographical "Langer lines" as a guideline for surgical incisions.² It was soon realized, however, that Langer lines deviated from wound deformation in live patients.

In 1938, American surgeon Herbert Conway, MD, noted widening in the lower portion of abdominal scars that followed Langer lines and proposed that skin elasticity in living patient varied from that of cadavers.² Columbia University professor of surgery Jerome P. Webster, MD, observed that such discrepancies posed a more favorable resolution when the incisional direction was along natural skin fold lines of the living patient.²

Plastic surgeon Cornelius Kraissl, MD, further demonstrated the benefits of surgical incisions that followed the plane of tissue folds as a means to minimize scarring. The eponymous Kraissl lines are notable not for their design novelty, but for their applicability to in vivo surgery. In 1949, Kraissl and Conway proposed that skin creases in live patients represented the effect of adaptation to muscle contraction. Because skin itself cannot shorten, folds are consequently formed perpendicular to the direction of muscle pull.³ Since scar tissue will follow the skin's orientation, fibrosis in the plane of movement will routinely interfere with adherent structures, setting the stage for subsequent deformity.² Kraissl superimposed the orientation of wrinkle lines in patients with muscular anatomy and contrasted such illustrations with the original lines of Langer. Despite many similarities, Kraissl noted that key differences lay in the regions of the face and abdomen. Today, it is clear that most discrepancies ultimately fall in Kraissl's favor with regard to surgical desirability.² This is illustrated by scar minimization using incisions that mirror natural creases: horizontal Kraissl lines in mid-forehead brow-lift, oblique lines in inguinal hernia repair, and curved horizontal lower abdominal incisions in lower-segment cesarean deliveries.³ In contrast, Langer lines suggest a vertical incision in both the brow-lift and hernia repair, while a V-shaped lower abdominal incision was preferred for cesarean deliveries.³

Langer lines serve their greatest instructive value at points coincident with Kraissl lines.² Modern teaching now generally recommends that wrinkle-line incisions be followed. Langer's original contributions may be why the terms "Langer" and "skin" lines are used synonymously in the lexicon of many medical publications, inadvertently subverting Kraissl's later contributions.

Author Affiliations: University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Department of Dermatology and Cutaneous Surgery, Miami, Florida (Maranda, Cortizo, Hafeez, Nouri); Lake Erie College of Osteopathic Medicine, Bradenton, Florida. (Heifetz).

Corresponding Author: Eric Laurent Maranda, BS, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Department of Dermatology and Cutaneous Surgery, 1475 NW 12th Ave, Miami, FL 33136 (emaranda@med.miami.edu).

1. Waldorf J, Perdikis G, Terkonda S. Planning incisions. *Oper Tech Gen Surg.* 2002;4(3):199-206.

2. Kraissl CJ. The selection of appropriate lines for elective surgical incisions. *Plast Reconstr Surg* (1946). 1951;8(1):1-28.

3. Zomorodian A, Walker WH. A clinical appraisal of transverse and oblique skin incisions in inguinal hernia repair. *J Natl Med Assoc.* 1964;56(4):326-328.